

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONE OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/878,743	06/11/2001	John E. Linville	HILB / 624C2	HILB / 624C2 4326	
26875 7.	590 04/07/2003				
WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP			EXAMINER		
2700 CAREW 441 VINE STR	REET		THEISEN, MARY LYNN F		
CINCINNATI,	OH 45202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1732	9	
DATE		DATE MAILED: 04/07/2003	·		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•						
	Application	on No.	pplicant(s)				
Office Action Courses	09/878,74	13	LINVILLE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
TI MAN DIO DATE CALL		F. Theisen	1732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the	cov rshe twith the d	correspondenc addre	ss			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 M	<u>1arch 2003</u>						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Thi	s action is	non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>							
4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-20,33 and 34 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24-32</u> is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) <u>24-32</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-23 and 35</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 June 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	· · ·		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s). <sub>-</sub> Patent Application (PTO-15				

Application/Control Number: 09/878,743 Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

### Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1-20, 33 and 34 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 5.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 21, 22, 23 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Edwards in view of Elder (4,730,370) and Nishibori.
- 5. Edwards discloses a casket lid that is molded using cellulosic material (wood pulp, rice straw, paper pulp) in an appropriately shaped mold. Elder shows a casket lid having a crown, pie, rim and header. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill

Application/Control Number: 09/878,743

Art Unit: 1732

in the art to use the method of Edwards to form a casket with a pie, crown, rim and header because this is a conventional shape for casket lids as evidenced by Elder. Nishibori is directed to molding cellulosic material into any shape. The product may have a wood grain. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form a wood grain on the casket of Edwards because Edwards indicates that artistic beauty can be had by forming the molds to give the desired result (column 2, first paragraph) and Nishibori shows that molds can be shaped to provide wood grain.

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 22-32 are allowed.

## Response to Amendment

7. Applicant's arguments filed March 12, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants contend that the combination of references does not yield the invention claimed. However, it is not pointed out what feature is missing. Applicant also question the motivation to combine the references indicating that it is based on speculation and assumptions. Motivation doen not need to come from the references themselves but can come from the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See MPEP 706.02(j). Part of the Examiners function is to determine knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. Elder and Edwards are both directed to caskets. Edwards and Nishibori disclose molding. One of ordinary skill in the art of molding caskets would be knowledgeable of both caskets and molding and would consider it obvious to combine features of the references.

Application/Control Number: 09/878,743

Art Unit: 1732

### Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary Lynn F. Theisen whose telephone number is 703-308-2312. The examiner can normally be reached on Thursday and Friday 6:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on 703-308-3853. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Application/Control Number: 09/878,743

Art Unit: 1732

Page 5

Mary Lynn F. Theisen Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1732

mlt

April 4, 2003